

REVIEW ARTICLE

Open Access



# Utility of sirolimus coated balloons in the peripheral vasculature – a review of the current literature

Y. L. Linn<sup>1\*</sup> , E. T. C. Choke<sup>2</sup>, C. J. Q. Yap<sup>1</sup> , R. Y. Tan<sup>3</sup>, A. Patel<sup>4</sup> and T. Y. Tang<sup>1,5</sup> 

## Abstract

Sirolimus-coated balloons (SCB) have demonstrated much promise as an alternative drug eluting device to the existing paclitaxel coated balloon platforms for the treatment of peripheral arterial disease (PAD). They have been well tested pre-clinically and have demonstrated anti-restenotic effects as well as clinical safety in its use for treatment of coronary artery disease. The existing approved SCBs have thus far demonstrated good short-term patency (12-months) and did not exhibit any major adverse events or device related shortcomings in its use for treatment of PAD. There are several studies ongoing which aim to further investigate the efficacy of existing SCBs and establish a direct comparison of its outcomes compared with plain balloon angioplasty. Also, SCB utility to salvage failing arteriovenous fistulas for haemodialysis patients has also been explored. We review the current progress made in the establishment of SCB in the treatment of PAD as well as highlight ongoing studies investigating the role of SCB in various settings.

**Keywords:** Sirolimus coated balloon, Paclitaxel coated balloon, Chronic limb threatening ischaemia, Peripheral arterial disease, Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty

## Introduction

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a chronic atherosclerotic disease affecting the arterial vasculature of the lower limbs, resulting in progressive narrowing (Kullo and Rooke 2016). It affects more than 200 million people globally (Global burden of disease study 2013 collaborators 2015) and accounts for significant healthcare costs (Conte et al. 2019). The most severe form of PAD is known as chronic limb threatening ischaemia (CLTI), and results from occlusive arterial disease leading to tissue loss, which manifests as ischaemic rest pain, non-healing ulcers or gangrene (Hirsch et al. 2006). This has been associated with high mortality and major lower extremity amputation (LEA) rates (Reinecke et al. 2015).

The primary aim of treatment of CLTI is the achievement of revascularization in a timely manner (Hirsch et al. 2006) to aid wound healing and to minimize the risk of major lower LEA, which in itself has been associated with poorer quality of life and mobility (Mayfield et al. 2001). This has traditionally been achieved via an open bypass surgery, but the development of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) techniques resulted in a push towards an endovascular-first approach for lower limb revascularization (Aboyans et al. 2018), where lower limb angioplasties were employed as first line treatment in the restoration of straight line pulsatile arterial flow to the foot. This has been associated with improved amputation-free survival rates (AFS) compared to traditional open surgical bypass (Lin et al. 2019). However, a major drawback of performing standard PTA, otherwise known as plain old balloon angioplasty (POBA), is the quick time to restenosis and loss of luminal patency (Varetto et al. 2019). This is related to the barotrauma created during

\*Correspondence: linnyunle@yahoo.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Vascular Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

the POBA process, causing inflammation and ultimately neointimal hyperplasia (NIH) (Biondi-Zoccai et al. 2009), resulting in higher re-intervention rates, albeit with no differences in mortality outcomes (Norgren et al. 2007).

The concept of drug coated balloons (DCB) was introduced to mitigate the effects of NIH, with a typical onset of 1 month after PTA (Braga et al. 2019). This was achieved via the anti-proliferative effects of drugs such as paclitaxel, which inhibit the NIH process and delays restenosis, resulting in improved luminal patency (Caradu et al. 2019). In particular, paclitaxel coated balloons (PCB) have been demonstrated to achieve longer term patency compared to POBA with Class IIb evidence (Salisbury et al. 2016) and have been deemed first line treatment by groups such as the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions and European Society of Cardiology for treatment of femoro-popliteal PAD (Aboyans et al. 2018; Feldman et al. 2018).

However, the findings of the landmark meta-analysis by Katsanos et al. (Katsanos et al. 2018) in 2018 called in doubt the role and safety of the use of paclitaxel devices including PCB and stents, where it was suggested that there was an increase mortality in patients with femoropopliteal disease following treatment with paclitaxel devices in the medium term. This resulted in widespread alarm among the endovascular surgery community (Tang et al. 2020a), who have by that point assumed the safety of paclitaxel devices (Salisbury et al. 2016) and considered it standard of care over POBA for the femoropopliteal region (Aboyans et al. 2018; Feldman et al. 2018). A subsequent study by Katsanos (Katsanos et al. 2020) also demonstrated worse AFS with the use of PCB compared to POBA for below the knee (BTK) disease at 12 months. While several justifications have been offered to cast doubt on the findings of the meta-analysis (Tang et al. 2020a; Soon et al. 2020), such as the lack of standardized endpoints, poor handling of outcomes, lack of long-term data and insufficient statistical power, sufficient damage has been done, with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States eventually publishing a provisional warning on the use of paclitaxel devices pending further recommendation (Update 2019).

### **Use of Sirolimus coated balloons for peripheral arterial disease**

Concurrent to the woes facing paclitaxel devices, drug coated balloons using sirolimus have also been introduced as a possible alternative to PCB. Similar to paclitaxel, sirolimus is an antiproliferative agent. It acts by reversibly placing the cell into resting phase,  $G_0$ , retaining its viability, in contrast with the mechanism of action of paclitaxel which interferes with microtubule formation during cell division which induces apoptosis (Sehgal

2003). In contrast to paclitaxel, sirolimus also has anti-inflammatory and anti-restenotic effects, as well as a broader therapeutic range and a 100-fold higher margin of safety (Ali et al. 2019).

Sirolimus devices have thus far already been used in the treatment of coronary arterial disease (CAD), with demonstrable lower restenosis rates compared to paclitaxel devices (Abizaid 2007). The use of sirolimus eluting stents also been demonstrated to inhibit the volume of NIH at 6 months compared to bare metal stents in the treatment of CAD, with resulting reduced restenosis rates (Abizaid et al. 2004). Its efficacy was initially limited by a slower spread within the arterial wall, reducing its retention levels and resulting in rapid dilution and sub-therapeutic treatment, especially when treating the larger peripheral lower limb arteries (Lemos et al. 2013).

This was a problem especially for its use in the peripheral circulation, where 'nude' sirolimus application had slow tissue absorption, necessitating the use of a co-solvent to enhance tissue uptake (Tang et al. 2020a). Initial attempts also saw rapid deactivation of sirolimus molecules when delivered into aqueous media via sirolimus eluting stents in the superficial femoral artery (SFA), resulting in no or marginal benefit (Tang et al. 2020a). However, the development of novel sirolimus-delivery technologies has resulted in more effective use of sirolimus coated balloons (SCB) in the periphery, including in those patients with below the knee (BTK) tibial arterial disease (Soon et al. 2020). At present, at least 2 SCBs have been granted breakthrough device designation by the FDA for treatment of PAD – *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> (MA ed. Alliance, SA, Mont-sur-Rolle, Switzerland) and *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> (Concept Medical Inc., Surat, India) (Tang et al. 2020a).

The *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> combines the use of amphipathic lipid cell adherence coating with sirolimus biodegradable micro-reservoirs to increase drug uptake into the arterial wall, thereby minimizing drug loss to the circulation and achieve extended elution kinetics (Med Alliance's 2018). The *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> employs the use of phospholipid to achieve 100% sirolimus sub-micron particle coating on its balloon surfaces, allowing for controlled drug delivery into the arterial wall (Lemos et al. 2013). These technologies enable maximal prolonged drug elution at therapeutic levels to minimize NIH and restenosis.

### **Present evidence for use of Sirolimus devices**

Extensive preclinical testing has been performed on the *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> SCB prior to its usage in humans, including assessment of its dimensional and functional attributes, drug and coating characterization, biological evaluation, pharmacokinetics and histological safety, sterilization, packaging integrity as well as stability

(Böhme et al. 2021). In vivo animal studies were conducted in rabbit iliac arteries to assess pharmacokinetics and histological safety of up to 6 months and found the device to perform as intended with no complication such as distal emboli or infarct involving the micro-reservoirs of sirolimus used (Böhme et al. 2021). The approximate half-life of sirolimus was approximately 90 days in comparison with days to weeks for paclitaxel (Katsanos et al. 2018), conferring it the theoretical benefit of longer therapeutic effect.

Subsequently, the first-in-human trial was performed with the use of *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> SCB for treatment of femoropopliteal lesion, entitled “Prospective, Controlled, Multi-centre, Open, Single-Arm Clinical Investigation of the Treatment of Patients with Femoropopliteal Artery Lesions with a Novel Drug-coated Balloon” (NCT02941224) (Zeller et al. 2020) (Table 1). Fifty patients with complex SFA and popliteal artery lesions were treated with the *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> SCB, with a mean lesion length of 64.3 mm and 30% total complete occlusion. 6-months data found a target lesion revascularization (TLR) rate of 2.3%, no major LEA or death and

improvement in 1 or more categories on the Rutherford Classification in 73% of patients. Primary patency rate as assessed by Duplex ultrasound was 88.4% and freedom from restenosis was 91.2%. 12- and 24-month data (Böhme et al. 2021) also demonstrated sustained improvement in 1 or more categories on the Rutherford Classification from baseline in 78% and 84% of patients at 12- and 24-months respectively and 85% freedom from TLR at 12-month post procedure. There were no incidence of major LEA or death at 24-months, although the patient cohort comprised of only claudicants (Böhme et al. 2021).

To study the efficacy and safety of *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> SCB for treatment of TASC II C and D tibial occlusive lesions in patients with CLTI, the PRESTIGE trial (NCT04071782), a pilot prospective, non randomised, single-arm, multi—investigator single-center study was carried out (Tang et al. 2021a). Twenty five patients with 33 atherosclerotic lesions of TASC II C and D aetiology were enrolled (all Rutherford 5 ft wounds). Technical success was 100% with 81.5% primary tibial patency at 6 months and 83.3% freedom from clinically driven

**Table 1** Summary of evidence of use of sirolimus coated balloons

Study	Aims/Findings
SCB in peripheral vasculature	
NCT02941224 (Zeller et al. 2020)	Prospective, single-arm, open-label, multi-center study of 50 patients with femoropopliteal lesions treated with <i>Selution SLR</i> <sup>TM</sup> demonstrating 88.4% primary patency, 91.2% freedom from restenosis and 85% freedom from TLR at 12 months.
PRESTIGE (Tang et al. 2021a)	Prospective, single-arm, multi-investigator, single-center study of 25 patients with CLTI treated with <i>Selution SLR</i> <sup>TM</sup> demonstrating 100% technical success, 81.5% primary patency at 6 months and 8.3% freedom from clinically driven TLR.
XTOSI (Choke et al. 2021)	Prospective, single-arm, open-label, single-center study of 50 patients with PAD treated with <i>MagicTouch</i> <sup>TM</sup> demonstrating 100% technical and device success, 89.7% 12-month freedom from clinically driven TLR, 81.6% AFS, 92.9% limb salvage and 84.6% wound healing rate.
SCB in AVF	
ISABELLA (Tang et al. 2021b; Tang et al. 2022a)	Prospective, single-center study of 40 failing AVF treated with <i>Selution SLR</i> <sup>TM</sup> (Med Alliance), demonstrating 95.1% and 71.8% primary patency at 3- and 6-month, 100% technical and procedural success.
MATLIDA (Tang et al. 2020b)	Prospective, single-center study of <i>MagicTouch</i> <sup>TM</sup> for treatment of 33 failing AVF, demonstrating 97.9% and 82.9% primary patencies at 3 and 6 months, 100% technical and procedural success.
AVG (Tan et al. 2021a)	Prospective, single-center study of <i>MagicTouch</i> <sup>TM</sup> for treatment of AVG at the graft-vein junction in 20 patients, finding 3- and 6-month primary patency rates of 76% and 65%.
Future studies	
LIFE-BTK (LIFE-BTK 2020)	Prospective, randomised controlled trial comparing <i>Espirit</i> <sup>TM</sup> BTK device (Abbott, Chicago, Illinois) for treatment of infra-popliteal disease compared to standard PTA device.
MDK-1901 (MDK-1901 2022)	Prospective, randomised controlled trial comparing <i>Selution SLR</i> <sup>TM</sup> for treatment of superficial femoral and popliteal artery lesions in PAD patients.
IMPRESSION (Sirolimus 2022)	Prospective, randomised controlled trial comparing <i>MagicTouch</i> <sup>TM</sup> balloon with standard POBA in the treatment of failing AVF on the rate of primary patency at 6 months.
SAVE (SAVE Trial 2022)	Prospective, randomised controlled trial comparing <i>Selution SLR</i> <sup>TM</sup> balloon with standard POBA in the treatment of failing AVF, assessing the primary patency at 6 months as well as freedom from serious adverse events at 30 days.
ACELEPIOS (Taneva et al. 2022)	Prospective, randomised controlled, single-center, noninferiority study comparing use of PCB ( <i>Ranger</i> , Boston Scientific) with SCB ( <i>MagicTouch</i> <sup>TM</sup> ) for treatment of femoropopliteal lesions.
SIRONA (Teichgräber et al. 2021)	Prospective, randomised controlled, single-blinded, multi-center noninferiority study comparing use of PCB (commercially available) with SCB ( <i>MagicTouch</i> <sup>TM</sup> ) for treatment of femoropopliteal lesions.

TLR. One major LEA and 3 deaths were recorded. 81,8% of patients showed improvement by at least 1 Rutherford category by 6 months. There was a significant improvement in the EQ. 5D quality of life scores at 6 months compared to baseline and between 3 and 6 months, which may be related to wound healing and regaining independence to ambulate again. The authors concluded that *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> SCB was safe and efficacious in the treatment of tibial occlusive lesions with good technical and clinical success with high patency and AFS.

Preclinical animal studies on delivery of sirolimus using *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> have also demonstrated successful delivery of the drug into the inner layer of arterial vessels, with some degree of penetration into the adventitia (Lemos et al. 2013). Outcomes of the *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> SCB in the cardiac literature as captured by the Nanolute Registry also demonstrated high procedural success rates of up to 99.7% with low device-related adverse events at 4.2% and TLR at 3.6% (Dani et al. 2019). In the first direct comparison between PCB and SCB for treatment of in-stent restenosis for coronary disease, Ali et al. (Ali et al. 2019) found that sirolimus was non-inferior to paclitaxel with both DCB demonstrating equivalent 6-month performance.

12-month data from the first-in-man study of the use of *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> SCB in treatment of PAD including femoropopliteal and BTK disease from the XTOSI study was published in 2021 (Choke et al. 2021). This was a prospective, single-arm, single-center study, which studied 50 patients, 20 of whom had femoropopliteal disease and 30 had BTK disease. 100% technical and device success was encountered, and 12-month freedom from clinically driven TLR was 89.7%, AFS was 81.6%, limb salvage was 92.9% and 84.6% wound healing rate. No distal embolisation was reported. The authors concluded that *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> was safe with no early concerns and had promising primary patency.

The promising data for sirolimus devices has also led to it being considered for use in treatment of arterio-venous fistulas (AVF) and grafts (AVG). A pilot prospective single center clinical study on the use of *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> for treatment of failing AVF in 40 Asian patients found 95.1% and 71.8% primary patency 3- and 6-months post fistuloplasty with *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> with 100% technical and procedural success (Tang et al. 2021b). However, recent published data (Tang et al. 2022a) demonstrated a drop in primary patency at 12-months to 44.4%, suggesting a possible need for further drug elution into the arterial wall to inhibit NIH between the 6- and 12-month interval timepoint. A separate pilot single center study from the same group of 33 Asian patients on the use of *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> SCB for the treatment of failing AVF found 97.9% and 82.9% primary patencies at 3- and 6-months

with 100% technical and procedural success (Tang et al. 2020b). While a follow-up study found primary patency post treatment with *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> SCB at 12-month to drop to 58%, this was comparable to existing data of paclitaxel devices (Tang et al. 2021c). The use of SCB for treatment of graft-vein junction post AVG thrombectomy has also been studied, with a single center prospective pilot study of 20 patients finding 3- and 6-month primary patency rates post treatment with *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> SCB at the graft-vein junction to be 76% and 65% respectively (Tan et al. 2021a).

## Discussion

### Future studies in the pipeline

The interest in the use of sirolimus products in the treatment of PAD has led to several studies being conducted to investigate its efficacy and safety. The LIFE-BTK trial (LIFE-BTK 2020) (NCT04227899) is an ongoing randomized control trial comparing the use of the *Espirit*<sup>TM</sup> BTK device (Abbott, Chicago, Illinois) for treatment of infra-popliteal disease compared to standard PTA device. Its estimated enrollment is 225 participants and aimed to study the 6-month limb salvage and primary patency as well as freedom from major adverse events at 6 months and peri-operative death at 30 days. The Japanese MDK-1901 clinical study (MDK-1901 2022) (JapicCTI-205,434) is another ongoing trial assessing the efficacy of *Selution*<sup>TM</sup> for treatment of superficial femoral and popliteal artery lesions in a Japanese PAD population for device registration in Japan. The primary endpoint was the primary patency rate of the target lesion at 12-month, and the secondary endpoint was the efficacy and safety as assessed via the technical, procedural and clinical success, TLR, major adverse events and death.

Several ongoing studies also exist, which aim to study the use of sirolimus balloons for treatment of failing AVF. The IMPRESSION trial (Sirolimus 2022) (NCT04409912) aims to compare the use of *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> balloon with standard POBA in the treatment of failing AVF on the rate of primary patency at 6 months. The ongoing SAVE trial (SAVE Trial 2022) (NCT04327609) attempts to compare the use of *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> balloon with standard POBA in the treatment of failing AVF, assessing the primary patency at 6 months as well as freedom from serious adverse events at 30 days. This is a joint collaboration between Greece and Singapore and will enable to look at potential ethnic differences in AVF salvage presentation and outcomes.

### Slow flow phenomenon with SCBs?

One issue pertaining to the use of DCB is the potential for the slow-flow phenomenon (Tang et al. 2021d). This was thought to be due to particulate embolization with

the application of DCB with over 50% of drug lost downstream reported (Torii et al. 2018). This was previously established to have affected the use of PCB, with up to 8% incidence with its use for the treatment of PAD with associated reduction in freedom from TLR, AFS and overall survival (Tang et al. 2021d). This was also thought to be a possible cause for the poorer outcomes with paclitaxel devices use reported in the meta-analyses by Katsanos et al. (Katsanos et al. 2018; Katsanos et al. 2020). Early studies suggest no evidence of slow-flow phenomenon with the use of SCB in the treatment of PAD, even for treatment of below ankle disease, as evidenced by review of angiographic images post treatment with drug elution (SAVE Trial 2022). This was attributable to the micro-reservoirs of phospholipid polymer complex with the cell adherent technology of the *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> SCB, which minimizes distal embolization.

### Regulatory issues

Paclitaxel coated DCB for treating peripheral atherosclerotic disease were initially approved in Europe in 2012 and approved for use by FDA in 2014. DCBs quickly became the standard of care for treating the SFA in Europe, Asia and USA, and other indications including coronary in stent restenosis and infrapopliteal diseases are currently undergoing regulatory trials and are expected to be approved for marketing in the near future. While currently approved devices in USA all use paclitaxel as the anti-restenotic agent great progress has been made in developing the “Limus” class of antirestenotic agents which are welcomed by the regulatory bodies for improved performance and known safety characteristics. New DCBs on the approval pathway mainly feature this new class of antirestenotic issues. At present, only the *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> and the *Selution SLR*<sup>TM</sup> DCB have received approval for commercial distribution in Europe and granted FDA approval as a breakthrough device for treatment of lower limb PAD (Böhme et al. 2021). Reimbursement for its use have thus far been variable.

### Paclitaxel or Sirolimus

The role of sirolimus DCBs in treatment of disease in the peripheral vasculature is promising, although more data is required to establish its long-term efficacy and safety. Whether sirolimus DCBs will eventually replace paclitaxel DCBs in treatment of the peripheral vasculature will depend on future findings for both DCBs. Sirolimus has the theoretical advantage over paclitaxel for its anti-inflammatory and anti-restenotic effects, as well as a broader therapeutic range (Ali et al. 2019). At present, the ACELEPIOS study (Taneva et al. 2022), a prospective, randomized controlled single center non inferiority study, which attempted to compare procedural success

and primary patency as well as 12 month-freedom from MAE, procedural success, and improvement in Rutherford category post treatment with paclitaxel DCB (Ranger, Boston Scientific) compared to sirolimus DCB (*MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup>) for femoropopliteal lesions, released preliminary data of six patients (three treated with PCB and three with SCB) demonstrated safety and efficacy of both PCB and SCB. This is at present the first-in-literature data and its eventual findings would be helpful in shaping the role of sirolimus DCB in the peripheral vasculature. Another study, the SIRONA trial (Teichgräber et al. 2021), a single-blind, multi-centre, randomized controlled noninferiority study, which aims to investigate the safety and efficacy of range of commercially available paclitaxel DCB compared to sirolimus DCB (*MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup>) in treatment of the femoropopliteal artery, is also presently in recruitment phase. These studies would be a first step in determining the place of sirolimus DCB among its contemporaries. An IDE BTK RCT comparing *Selution*<sup>TM</sup> and POBA is about to start with lead centres in the US, Europe and Asia. All these studies will need to take note the lessons of the paclitaxel-based studies in PAD where follow-up was at best variable and patient level follow-up data missing. More pre-clinical testing of the SCBs in different animal models are also required especially for the AVF and PAD settings to look at pharmacokinetics of the balloon. More data looking at the use of SCBs in different parts of the AVF circuit are warranted especially as we are beginning to realise that drug may have differential effects on different types of stenotic lesions (Tan et al. 2021b).

In terms of dosage, *Selution*<sup>TM</sup> uses 1mcg/mm<sup>2</sup> and *MagicTouch*<sup>TM</sup> uses 1.25mcg/mm<sup>2</sup>. These doses are both lower than used in paclitaxel DCB, although the technology to introduce molecular sirolimus into the arterial wall differs between sirolimus and paclitaxel DCB. Hence, calcium, which may be an issue for paclitaxel DCB (Fanelli et al. 2014), may not be of concern for sirolimus DCB since sirolimus performs with reversible binding unlike paclitaxel and may allow better penetration into the arterial wall. Will this will have to be proven, present outcome data from PRESTIGE and XTOSI are encouraging especially for BTK vessels (Tang et al. 2021a; Choke et al. 2021). In terms of treating long lesions, treatment with stents may not be suitable as long stents have issues such as occlusion, in particular in Asian vessels which are smaller than Caucasian vessels, and can be very difficult to reopen when occluded (Soon et al. 2021). While there is a potential for distal embolisation and outflow obstruction resulting in impaired wound healing and increased LEA as with paclitaxel DCB, there have thus far been no slow flow phenomenon with sirolimus SCB (Tang et al. 2022b).

There are ultimately still many challenges in the quest to improve revascularization outcomes, especially in the BTK region. The unmet need is to find durable revascularization without restenosis, managing dissection as well as recoil and crossing and treating heavily calcified lesions. Furthermore, we need better foot perfusion imaging techniques pre and post intervention to guide us on targeted wound debridement to achieve optimal wound healing outcomes in the setting of CLTI. There have been a huge interest in the role of drug coated technology to minimize the risk of restenosis and therefore reduce potential reintervention but DCB really only addresses the NIH aspect and not the recoil and dissection, which require scaffolds and tacks to address the mechanical problem. In the next 2 to 5 years, the answer using sirolimus will become obvious with all the trials coming to fruition and having learnt from the paclitaxel issue. Prospective follow up of patients to give patient level data will be of importance. It is unlikely that sirolimus technology can answer all the problems and that a toolbox of multiple technologies including stents (for scaffolding), atherectomy to address the calcium issue and DCB to minimize NIH will be required.

## Conclusion

We conclude that sirolimus DCBs represent a promising alternative in treatment of disease of the peripheral vasculature, although further studies are required to establish its clinical efficacy and safety as well as cost effectiveness in terms of mortality benefits and reduced time to reintervention compared to PCB and plain POBA.

## Abbreviations

SCB: sirolimus-coated balloon; PAD: peripheral arterial disease; CLTI: chronic limb threatening ischaemia; LEA: lower extremity amputation; PTA: percutaneous transluminal angioplasty; AFS: amputation free survival; POBA: plain old balloon angioplasty; NIH: neointimal hyperplasia; DCB: drug coated balloon; PCB: paclitaxel coated balloon; BTK: below the knee; FDA: food and drug administration; CAD: coronary arterial disease; SFA: superficial femoral artery; TLR: target lesion revascularisation; AVF: arterio-venous fistula; AVG: arterio-venous graft.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Mr. Jeffrey Jump, CEO and Chairman of MedAlliance for his contribution regarding the regulatory aspects of bringing such a technology to market.

## Authors' contributions

YLL: Writing (original draft); ETCC: Data curation, review and editing, CJQY: Data curation, review and editing; RYT: Data curation, review and editing; AP: Data curation, review and editing; TYT: Conceptualisation, Data curation, review and editing. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

Not applicable.

## Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

TYT has received physician-initiated study grants and honoraria for speaking engagements from M.A. MedAlliance SA. TYT and ETCC have both received honoraria and travelling bursaries from Concept Medical.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vascular Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>2</sup>Department of General Surgery, Sengkang General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>3</sup>Department of Nephrology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>4</sup>Department of Vascular Interventional Radiology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore. <sup>5</sup>Duke NUS Graduate Medical School, Singapore, Singapore.

Received: 6 May 2022 Accepted: 9 June 2022

Published online: 24 June 2022

## References

- Abizaid A (2007) Sirolimus-eluting coronary stents: a review. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 3(2):191–201. <https://doi.org/10.2147/vhrm.2007.3.2.191>
- Abizaid A, Costa MA, Blanchard D, Albertal M, Eltchaninoff H et al (2004) Sirolimus-eluting stents inhibit neointimal hyperplasia in diabetic patients. Insights from the RAVEL trial. *Eur Heart J* 25(2):107–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ehj.2003.11.002>
- Aboyans V, Ricco JB, MEL B, Björck M, Brodmann M et al (2018) 2017 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS): document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteries Endorsed by: the European stroke organization (ESO) the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur Heart J* 39(9):763–816. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx095>
- Ali RM, Abdul Kader MASK, Wan Ahmad WA, Ong TK, Liew HB et al (2019) Treatment of coronary drug-eluting stent restenosis by a Sirolimus- or paclitaxel-coated balloon. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 12(6):558–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2018.11.040>
- Biondi-Zoccai GG, Sangiorgi G, Lotrionte M, Feiring A, Commeau P et al (2009) Infragenicular stent implantation for below-the-knee atherosclerotic disease: clinical evidence from an international collaborative meta-analysis on 640 patients. *J Endovasc Ther* 16(3):251–260. <https://doi.org/10.1583/09-2691.1>
- Böhme T, Noory E, Beschoner U, Macharzina R, Zeller T (2021) The SELUTION SLR™ drug-eluting balloon system for the treatment of symptomatic femoropopliteal lesions. *Futur Cardiol* 17(2):257–267. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2020-0085>
- Braga SF, Neves JR, Ferreira J, Carrilho C, Simões JC et al (2019) Neointimal hyperplasia. *Rev Port Cir Cardiorac Vasc* 26(3):213–217
- Caradu C, Lakhlifi E, Colacchio EC, Midy D, Bérard X et al (2019) Systematic review and updated meta-analysis of the use of drug-coated balloon angioplasty versus plain old balloon angioplasty for femoropopliteal arterial disease. *J Vasc Surg* 70(3):981–995.e10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2019.01.080>
- Conte MS, Bradbury AW, Kolh P, White JV, Dick F et al (2019) Writing Group for the Joint Guidelines of the Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS), European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS), and world Federation of Vascular

- Societies (WFVS). Global vascular guidelines on the Management of Chronic Limb-Threatening Ischemia. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 58(15):S1–S109.e33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2019.05.006>
- Dani S, Shah D, Sojitra P, Parikh K, Shetty R et al (2019) A novel nanocarrier sirolimus-coated balloon for coronary interventions: 12-month data from the Nanoluté registry. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med* 20(3):235–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carrev.2018.06.003>
- Fanelli F, Cannavale A, Gazzetti M, Lucatelli P, Wilderik A, Cirelli C, d'Adamo A, Salvatori FM (2014) Calcium burden assessment and impact on drug-eluting balloons in peripheral arterial disease. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 37(4):898–907. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00270-014-0904-3>
- Feldman DN, Armstrong EJ, Aronow HD, Gigliotti OS, Jaff MR, Klein AJ, Parikh SA, Prasad A, Rosenfield K, Shishehbor MH, Swaminathan RV, White CJ (2018) SCAI consensus guidelines for device selection in femoral-popliteal arterial interventions. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 92(1):124–140. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.27635>
- Global burden of disease study 2013 collaborators (2015) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. *Lancet*. 386(9995):743–800. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)60692-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60692-4)
- Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR et al (2006) ACC/AHA guidelines for the Management of Patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): a collaborative report from the American associations for vascular surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA task force on practice guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease)—summary of recommendations. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 17(9):1383–1397quiz 1398. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.RVI.0000240426.53079.46>
- Katsanos K, Spiliopoulos S, Kitrou P, Krokidis M, Karnabatidis D (2018) Risk of death following application of paclitaxel-coated balloons and stents in the Femoropopliteal artery of the leg: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Heart Assoc* 7(24):e011245. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.118.011245>
- Katsanos K, Spiliopoulos S, Kitrou P, Krokidis M, Paraskevopoulos I et al (2020) Risk of death and amputation with use of paclitaxel-coated balloons in the Infrapopliteal arteries for treatment of critical limb ischemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 31(2):202–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2019.11.015>
- Kullo IJ, Rooke TW (2016) Clinical practice. Peripheral artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 374(9):861–871. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcpl507631>
- Lemos PA, Farooq V, Takimura CK, Gutierrez PS, Virmani R et al (2013) Emerging technologies: polymer-free phospholipid encapsulated sirolimus nanocarriers for the controlled release of drug from a stent-plus-balloon or a stand-alone balloon catheter. *EuroIntervention*. 9(1):148–156. <https://doi.org/10.4244/EIJV9I1A21>
- Lin JH, Brunson A, Romano PS, Mell MW, Humphries MD (2019) Endovascular-first treatment is associated with improved amputation-free survival in patients with critical limb ischemia. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 12(8):e005273. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.118.005273>
- Mayfield JA, Reiber GE, Maynard C, Czerniecki JM, Caps MT et al (2001) Survival following lower-limb amputation in a veteran population. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 38(3):341–345
- Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, Nehler MR, Harris KA et al (2007) Inter-society consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II). *J Vasc Surg* 45 Suppl S:55–S67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2006.12.037>
- Reinecke H, Unrath M, Freisinger E, Bunzemeier H, Meyborg M et al (2015) Peripheral arterial disease and critical limb ischaemia: still poor outcomes and lack of guideline adherence. *Eur Heart J* 36(15):932–938. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv006>
- Salisbury AC, Li H, Vilain KR, Jaff MR, Schneider PA et al (2016) Cost-effectiveness of endovascular Femoropopliteal intervention using drug-coated balloons versus standard percutaneous Transluminal angioplasty: results from the IN.PACT SFA II trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 9(22):2343–2352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2016.08.036>
- Sehgal SN (2003) Sirolimus: its discovery, biological properties, and mechanism of action. *Transplant Proc* 35(3 Suppl):75–145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-1345\(03\)00211-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-1345(03)00211-2)
- Soon SXY, Yap CJQ, Lee SQW, Yap HY, Chong TT et al (2020) Re: risk of death and amputation with use of paclitaxel-coated balloons in the Infrapopliteal arteries for treatment of critical limb ischemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 31(6):1029–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2020.02.031>
- Soon SXY, Patel A, Chong TT, Yap CJQ, Tay HT, Tay KH, Sivanathan C, Tang TY (2021) Distribution of peripheral arterial disease in patients undergoing endovascular revascularization for chronic limb threatening Ischaemia: insights from the vascular quality initiative in Singapore. *Vasc Specialist Int* 37:13. <https://doi.org/10.5758/vsi.210016>
- Tan CW, Tan RY, Pang SC, Tng ARK, Tang TY, Zhuang KD, Chua JME, Tay KH, Chong TT, Tan CS (2021a) Single-center prospective pilot study of Sirolimus drug-coated balloon angioplasty in maintaining the patency of Thrombosed Arteriovenous graft. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 32(3):369–375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2020.11.010>
- Tan RY, Pang SC, Tng ARK, Tan CS (2021b) Paclitaxel-coated balloon angioplasty for recurrent arteriovenous fistula stenosis. *Kidney Int* 100(2):480–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2021.04.041>
- Taneva GT, Pitoulias GA, Abu Bakr N, Kazemtash M, Muñoz Castellanos J, Donas KP (2022) Assessment of Sirolimus- vs. paclitaxel-coated balloon angioplasty in atherosclerotic femoropopliteal lesions (ASCLEPIOS study): preliminary results. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 63(1):8–12. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0021-9509.21.12169-X>
- Tang TY, Choke EC, Walsh SR, Tiwari A, Chong TT (2020a) What now for the endovascular community after the paclitaxel mortality meta-analysis: can Sirolimus replace paclitaxel in the peripheral vasculature? *J Endovasc Ther* 27(1):153–156. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1526602819881156>
- Tang TY, Soon SXY, Yap CJQ, Chan SL, Tan RY, Pang SC, Lee SQW, Yap HY, Choke ETC, Tan CS, Chong TT (2020b) Early (6 months) results of a pilot prospective study to investigate the efficacy and safety of sirolimus coated balloon angioplasty for dysfunctional arterio-venous fistulas: MAGIC-Touch intervention leap for dialysis access (MATILDA) trial. *PLoS One* 15(10):e0241321. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241321>
- Tang TY, Soon SXY, Yap CJQ, Chan SL, Choke ETC, Chong TT (2021c) Utility of Sirolimus coated balloons for salvaging dysfunctional Arteriovenous fistulae: one year results from the MATILDA trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 62(2):316–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2021.04.014>
- Tang TY, Yap C, Soon SXY, Chan SL, Lee QS et al (2021a) World's first experience treating TASC II C and D Tibial occlusive disease using the Solutio SLR Sirolimus-eluting balloon: six-month results from the PRESTIGE study. *J Endovasc Ther* 28(4):555–566. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15266028211007457>
- Tang TY, Sulaiman MSB, Soon SXY, Yap CJQ, Patel A, Chong TT (2022b) Slow-flow phenomena following lower limb paclitaxel- and sirolimus-coated balloon angioplasty in the setting of chronic limb threatening ischaemia—a case series. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 12(3):2058–2065. <https://doi.org/10.21037/qjms-21-633>
- Tang TY, Yap CJ, Soon SX, Tan RY, Pang SC, Patel A, Gogna A, Tan CS, Chong TT (2022a) Utility of the solutio SLR™ sirolimus eluting balloon to rescue failing arterio-venous fistulas - 12 month results of the ISABELLA registry from Singapore. *CVIR Endovasc* 5(1):8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42155-022-00287-1>
- Teichgräber U, Ingwersen M, Platzer S, Lehmann T, Zeller T, Aschenbach R, Scheinert D (2021) Head-to-head comparison of sirolimus- versus paclitaxel-coated balloon angioplasty in the femoropopliteal artery: study protocol for the randomized controlled SIRONA trial. *Trials*. 22(1):665. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05631-9>
- Torii S, Yahagi K, Mori H, Harari E, Romero ME, Kolodgie FD, Young B, Ragheb A, Virmani R, Finn AV (2018) Biologic drug effect and particulate embolization of drug-eluting stents versus drug-coated balloons in healthy swine femoropopliteal arteries. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 29(7):1041–1049.e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2018.02.006>
- Varetto G, Gibello L, Boero M, Frola E, Peretti T et al (2019) Angioplasty or bare metal stent versus drug-eluting endovascular treatment in femoropopliteal artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 60(5):546–556. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0021-9509.19.11115-9>

- Zeller T, Brechtel K, Meyer DR, Noory E, Beschorner U et al (2020) Six-month outcomes from the first-in-human, single-arm SELUTION sustained-Limus-release drug-eluting balloon trial in Femoropopliteal lesions. *J Endovasc Ther* 27(5):683–690. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1526602820941811>
- Choke E, Tang TY, Peh E, Damodharan K, Cheng SC et al (2021) MagicTouch PTA Sirolimus coated balloon for Femoropopliteal and below the knee disease: results from XTOSI pilot study up to 12 months. *J Endovasc Ther* 15266028211064816. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15266028211064816>
- LIFE-BTK Randomized Controlled Trial (LIFE-BTK). 2020. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04227899>. Accessed 22 Jan 2022
- MDK-1901 Clinical Study. [https://rctportal.niph.go.jp/en/detail?trial\\_id=JapicCTI-205434](https://rctportal.niph.go.jp/en/detail?trial_id=JapicCTI-205434). Accessed 22 Jan 2022
- Med Alliance's SELUTION FIM study achieves primary endpoint. 2018. <https://evtoday.com/2018/01/31/med-alliances-selution-fim-study-achieves-primary-endpoint>. Accessed 16 Jan 2022
- SAVE Trial - Use of the Selution Sirolimus Eluting Balloon for Dysfunctional AV accEss Treatment Indications (SAVE). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04327609>. Accessed 22 Jan 2022
- Sirolimus Coated Angioplasty Versus Plain Balloon Angioplasty (IMPRESSION). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04409912>. Accessed 22 Jan 2022
- Tang TY, Soon SX, Yap CJ, Tan RY, Pang SC, Patel A, Gogna A, Tan CS, Chong TT (2021b) Endovascular salvage of failing arterio-venous fistulas utilising sirolimus eluting balloons: six months results from the ISABELLA trial. *J Vasc Access* 11297298211067059. <https://doi.org/10.1177/11297298211067059>
- Tang TY, Sulaiman MSB, Soon SXY, Yap CJQ, Patel A, Chong TT (2021d) Slow-flow phenomena following lower limb paclitaxel- and sirolimus-coated balloon angioplasty in the setting of chronic limb threatening ischaemia—a case series. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. <https://doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-633>
- Update: Treatment of peripheral arterial disease with paclitaxel-coated balloons and paclitaxel eluting stents potentially associated with increased mortality—letter to health care providers. 2019. Available at <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/letters-health-care-providers/august-7-2019-update-treatment-peripheral-arterial-diseasepaclitaxel-coated-balloons-and-paclitaxel>. Accessed 14 Jan 2022

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)

---